



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/465,143 | 12/16/1999 | RODGER W. TIGHE | P/3437-2 | 8524 |

2352 7590 02/19/2004

OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NY 100368403

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

NGUYEN, CHAU T

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2176

DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/465,143 | TIGHE, RODGER W. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Chau Nguyen | 2176 | |

ppey

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Chau Nguyen (Examiner), Steven Hong (Primary)
 (2) Louis C. Dujmich (Applicant's Attorney) (4)

Date of Interview: 02/10/04

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Laptop Demo of claimed invention

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of Records < Hot Docs Mukherjee

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Discussed prior art of record - HotDocs and Mukherjee.
Applicant advised new search and consideration would be required for ~~claim~~ proposed amendment and claim modification, serving to minimize current and future issues in the next office Action.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required